



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/683,533	01/16/2002	Joel A. Kubby	111014	7731
27074	7590	08/12/2004	EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC. P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			COLEMAN, WILLIAM D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2823	

DATE MAILED: 08/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Am

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

09/683,533

01/16/2002

KURBY

111014

EXAMINER
----------

W. DAVID COLEMAN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2823

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) W. DAVID COLEMAN

(3)

(2) JESSIE COLLIER

(4)

Date of Interview JULY 22, 2004

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: KANO et al 5,587,343 ISLAM et al 6,407,851

Identification of prior art discussed: CLAIMS 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 21, 22 & 24

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: PROPOSAL TO

AMEND TO A THERMO-OPTICAL SWITCH WHICH KANO DOES NOT

DISCLOSE. PERTAINING TO THE 103 REJECTION, THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT

WOULD MAKE THE PRIOR ART REJECTION NON-COMBINABLE.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

W. DAVID COLEMAN  
PRIMARY EXAMINER